Meeting Minutes Maryland Cybersecurity Council Subcommittee on Critical Infrastructure Friday, 03 April 2020 11:00 am±12:30 pm

Meeting hosted on a public zoom link (no password required to access)

Member Attendance (93)

Subcommittee members attend Markus Rauschecker (chair), John Abeles, David Anyiwo, Cyril Draffin, Lt. Colonel Ferguson (for Adjutant General Timothy Gowen), Professor Michael

would start with the Council in the fall. The position description calls for the Fellow to develop a set of recommendations about what Maryland can do to assist utilities in enhancing their cybersecurity and resiliency. Dr. von Lehmen has been working with Emmel, the Associate SLTT Director at NSA, RQ WKH &RXQFLAOF INVO SSOLFDWLRQ IR

x NextGen 911 (Digital 911). Mr. Cunningham noted **ENSB** cybersecurity committee has adopted the NIST Cybersecurity Framework for vendors to comply with FRPPLWWHH¶V work is moving forward but progress is slow. The Public Service Answering Points (PSAPs) are preparing to transition to the new digital system. The two principal providersorola and ATT² are working to ensure a seamless transfer. There is a need is to look at the security of other parts of the 911 ecosystem, including the computer-aided dispatch units (CADs), radio, and the office environments supporting the PSAPs. Funds may be made available for improvements in these targeted areas.

Mr. Cunningham renewed his call for cybersecurity SMEs to assist the cybersecurity committee. The need now is to translate technic HUVHFXULW\UHTXLUHPHQW\language. Dr. von Lehmen noted that University of Maryland and University of Maryland Global Campus both have faculty willing to assist and that he would connect those faculty with Mr. Cunningham.

Maryland Information Sharing and Analysis Organization (Maryland ISAO)

The chair reminded the subcommittee of the background for the L&ACaft business plan had been createdy Dr. von Lehmen following a meeting with the chair and Clay Hausse included an extensive legal section from CHHS. The draft had subsequently been reviewed by the chair and Clay House. Based on the review, and expected inputs from Frank Grimmelmann at ACTRA, a new draft will be forthcoming and circulated to the subcommittee members at large for comment and possible final revision.

Clay House remarked that what excited him about ACTRA as a model is the swarming strategy that it entails, viz. when there is a problem the members come together to solve it. This happens when ACTRA is addressing threats. Another example is how ACTRA has become a hub for cyber workforce development: the corporate members donated equipment to stand up a cyber range at a local university. The rangeissed to do K12 student and teacher education, and corporate training. Moreover, ACTRA uses both the range and its SOC for intern opportunities for students. This provides a talent development pipeline from which the member companies benefit. Mr. House emphasized that what sustains ACTRA, in additional Q N * U L P P H O P D Q C leadership, is the level of confidence and trust relationship among the members.

Dr. Anyiwo asked if there was an organization already called the Maryland ISAO. Dr. von Lehmen noted that he had visited the sponstdrswæch@UMBC last year. At the time, the

Maryland ISAO was still in the concept phase. Today, there is no information about the organization on its webpage, and it appears no progress has been made in realizing the concept.

Next steps: circulate the draft document to members for any comments that they would like to make as a contribution to the effort.

Policy related to the utility sector

The chair noted that the NSA Fellow will help the subcommittee with a key objective for the 2020 ± 2021 period, namely, to formulate as recommendations to the full Council changes in law and otherstrategies to enhance the cybersecurity of the utility sector in Maryland. In this connection, Mr. Abelemet with officials US DoE to discuss what was happening at the state level with such initiativesHe had been able to compile 10-15 reports that would be useful to the subcommittee. These include the report from Grid Exeld last November. Together, these reports capture the best practices of the states which could easily be picked up and used in Maryland.

Lt Colonel Ferguson from the MDNG added that LOH WKH

comments and asked that any members of the subcommittee who had concerns should send them to him. He noted that he and the new CIO (Fred Brechbiel) were doing an evaluation of the entire operation, so that comments now were timely. Lt. Colonel Ferguson added that there is a Guard team that has been involved in working with SBE.

The chair asked if Mr. Treichel would be willing to provide a brief to the subcommittee on election security in Maryland to the extent that he could share in a public forum. He agreed to discuss that with the SBE Administrator with a view to seeing what would be possible.

Other business

No members raised business to discuss.

The chairsummed up by asking the members to look for the ISAO draft, Mr. Abeles reports, and a possible future brief from SBEn behalf of the subcommittee, he expressed his appreciation to Mr. Treichel and Mr. Brechbiel for attending the meeting.

The chair called for a motion to adjourn. With the motions duly madsemented, the subcommittee adjourned at 12:15 pm.

[Note: These minutes were approved by the subcommittee at its meeting on January 15, 2021.]